

Observer Report - Referee



Senior Referee	Country	
Second Referee	Country	
Jury/Observer	Country	

Date	Current License	Rating	
Competition	Communication in English	Graduation	
Place/Venue	Self assessment	Behaviour towards obs.	

Away Team

Home Team

	1. match half	2. match half	extra-time	penalty-shot-series		
Goals Scored	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	Result	-
Penalties	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	Total	-

	1. match half	2. match half	extra-time	penalty-shot-series
Tolerance level				
Degree of difficulty				

Description of the game and its character

1. Game management	Fail	Approved	Well approved	Very well appr.
Inspection Playing Field				
Inspection equipment and players				
Feeling for and understanding of the game				
Predictable and consistent line				
Quick, clear and reliable decision				
Acting as a game leader, assuming responsibility				
Whistles so the game is in the flow				
Cooperation with other Referee				
Communication with the teams - in situation				
Whistlings and signs				

2. Personality	Fail	Approved	Well approved	Very well appr.
Cooperation and in contact with Time and Scorekeeper				
Authority, charisma, appearance and acceptance				
Assertiveness and self-confindence				
Calm and consistent acting in critcial situation				
Optical look, facial expressions and body language				
Resolute, courageous, not afraid to make unpopular decisions				
Communicative skills				
Consistent and not influenced by others, takes objective decisions				

3. Interpretation of the rules	Fail	Approved	Well approved	Very well appr.
Knowledge of rules				

Sensible use of advantage rule		
Handling of standard situations		
Is impartial, neutral fair		
Dealing with unsportsmanlike behaviour (protesting, assaults)		

4. Movement and positioning	Fail	Approved	Well approved	Very well appr.
Supervising of the goal line, players				
Split the responsibility				
Being in a proper distance from the situation / active positioning				
Able to anticipate what is going to happen next, avoids running unnecess.				
Physical shape, running style				

5. Penalties	Fail	Approved	Well approved	Very well appr.
Penalty quality, consistency and predictability				
Penalties shown clearly, punished player able to be indentified				
Limited discussion with players				
Right decisions about Personal Contact				
Right decisions about penalty's (not missing important decisions)				

General comments and advices on performance

Points discussed with Referees / Summary

Positive points/Areas of Strength

2.

3.

Negative points/Areas of Improvement

2.

3.

Date	
Signature Referee	
Signature Jury/Observer	
Signature Jury/Observer	
Signature Chief Jury	

These marks	marks must be justified by your later comments.
Referee leve	
2	B- License
1	C-License
Communicati	Communication in English scale
σ	Excellent
4	Very good
ω	Good
2	Satisfactory
1	Poor
Rating scale	(short term indicator)
9.0 - 10	Excellent (oustanding) performance
8.5 - 8.9	Very good (a highly recommendable) performance
8.0 - 8.4	Good (an efficient) performance
7.5 - 7.9	Satisfactory (significant points to improve) performance
7.0 - 7.4	Disappointing (quite unsatisfactory) performance
6.0-6.9 FO FO	Poor (unsatisfactory) performance
5.0 - 5.9	very poor (unacceptable) performance
Graduation S	s (rougherningicator)
4	Tent to exceed expectation
ω	Meet expectation
2	Tent not to meet expectation
1	Don't meet expectation
Behaviour to	towards observer scale
ω	very appropriate and open towards assessment
2	appropriate but reluctant
1	inappropriate, not able to take criticism
Self assesment scale	nt scale
ω	self-assessment meets external assessment
2	self-assessment meets external assessment partially
1	self-assessment differs from external assessment in essential aspects
Tolerance lev	level scale
U	Allows almost everything
4	More allowable tolerance level
ω	Average tolerance level
2	More strict tolerance level
1	Allows almost nothing
Degree of dif	difficulty scale
U	Very difficult match
4	Difficult match
ω	Neither ordinary nor difficult match
- N	Ordinary match

RATING SCALE: At international level, referees are expected to achieve good performances. Therefore, a mark between 8.0 and 8.4 means that referees are doing their job according to standard expectations. A mark lower than 8 must be justified. The Jury/Observer must mention one or more significant points for improvement. Likewise, for a mark higher than 8.4, the Observer must mention particularly positive aspects. To merit a higher mark than 8.4, the Referee must have taken difficult and crucial decisions correctly. If, by an error of judgment and/or lack of control, the Referees Team influences the result of the match, the mark given to them must not exceed 7.5 in any circumstances.

GRADUATION SCALE: The Jury/Observer weighs the overall impression against his/her expectations. E.g. When we have an A-License Referee whistling a simple match, we would normally expect at least a "very good" performance. If they do not deliver (e.g. only "satisfying"), we are of course disappointed, and they maybe get graduation of 2 or even 1. Graduation is therefore a long-term indicator, whereas the rating scale are only short-term. Repeated graduations below/over the expectations defined for a certain referee level can affect their career in moving up or down among the ICEWH Referees 'group.

DEGREE OF DIFFICULTY: The Jury/Observer must rate the difficulty of the match. This should reflect the demands made on the Referee during the whole match. In the Evaluation, the Jury/Observer must also record why the game was or became difficult to Referee. Previous incidents between two teams or an uncertain result (extra time) do not necessarily make a match difficult to officiate. A decisive factor is how the teams actually played.

	Development schedule	
Match settlement		Strengths
ļ		
Interpretation of		
Movement and		Things to be working with
Jury:		
Referee:		